# Limit theorems for multiscale stochastic dynamical systems

## Longjie Xie

Jiangsu Normal University

#### The 16th Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics Changsha, July 12-16, 2021

#### Background

- Averaging principle functional LLN
- Normal deviations functional CLT

#### 2 Main results

- Functional LLN
- Functional CLT: Case 0
- Functional CLT: Case 1
- Functional CLT: Case 2

Consider the two-time-scales stochastic system:

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^\varepsilon = F(X_{t/\varepsilon}, Y_t^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1.1}$$

where  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  is an ergodic Markov process possessing a unique invariant measure  $\mu(dx)$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  represents the separation of time scales.

Consider the two-time-scales stochastic system:

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^\varepsilon = F(X_{t/\varepsilon}, Y_t^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1.1}$$

where  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  is an ergodic Markov process possessing a unique invariant measure  $\mu(dx)$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  represents the separation of time scales.

- $\triangleright Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  (slow variable): mathematical model for a phenomenon appearing at the natural time scale;
- $\succ X_{t/\varepsilon} \text{ (fast variable): fast random environment/effects at a faster time scale (with time order 1/<math>\varepsilon$ ).

## Background - Averaging principle

Consider the two-time-scales stochastic system:

$$dY_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_{t/\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})dt + dW_t, \quad Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(1.1)

where  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  is an ergodic Markov process possessing a unique invariant measure  $\mu(dx)$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  represents the separation of time scales.

- $\triangleright Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  (slow variable): mathematical model for a phenomenon appearing at the natural time scale;
- $\triangleright X_{t/\varepsilon} \text{ (fast variable): fast random environment/effects at a faster time scale (with time order 1/<math>\varepsilon$ ).

Usually, the system (1.1) is difficult to deal with due to the two widely separated time scales. Thus a simplified equation which governs the evolution of the system over a long time scale (as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ) is highly desirable.

Consider the two-time-scales stochastic system:

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_{t/\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(1.1)

Intuitively,

$$X_{t/arepsilon} \Rightarrow \mu(\mathrm{d} x) \quad \mathrm{as} \quad arepsilon o \mathsf{0}.$$

Thus, by averaging the coefficient with respect to the fast variable, the slow part  $Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  will converge to  $\bar{Y}_t$ , where

$$\mathrm{d}\,\bar{Y}_t = \bar{F}(\bar{Y}_t)\mathrm{d}\,t + \mathrm{d}\,W_t, \quad Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with

$$\bar{F}(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x,y) \mu(\mathrm{d} x).$$

## Background - Averaging principle

Consider the two-time-scales stochastic system:

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_{t/\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t, \quad Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(1.1)

Intuitively,

$$X_{t/arepsilon} \Rightarrow \mu(\mathrm{d} x) \quad \mathrm{as} \quad arepsilon o \mathsf{0}.$$

Thus, by averaging the coefficient with respect to the fast variable, the slow part  $Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  will converge to  $\bar{Y}_t$ , where

$$\mathrm{d}\,\bar{Y}_t = \bar{F}(\bar{Y}_t)\mathrm{d}\,t + \mathrm{d}\,W_t, \quad Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with

$$\bar{F}(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, y) \mu(\mathrm{d} x).$$

This theory, known as the averaging principle, was first developed by Bogolyubov (1937) for ODEs and extended to the SDEs by Khasminskii (1966).

Longjie Xie (JSNU)

Consider the following fast-slow stochastic system in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} b(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon^{-1/2} \sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + G(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^2, \\ X_0^{\varepsilon} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \quad Y_0^{\varepsilon} = y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  is a small parameter.

Consider the following fast-slow stochastic system in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} b(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon^{-1/2} \sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + G(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^2, \\ X_0^{\varepsilon} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \quad Y_0^{\varepsilon} = y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  is a small parameter.

The intuitive idea for deriving a simplified equation for (1.2) is based on the observation that:

during the fast transients, the slow variable remains "constant";

◊ by the time its changes become noticeable, the fast variable has almost reached its quasi-steady state.  $\diamond$  With the natural time scaling  $t \mapsto \varepsilon t$ , the process  $\tilde{X}_t^{\varepsilon} := X_{\varepsilon t}^{\varepsilon}$  satisfies

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_t^\varepsilon = b(\tilde{X}_t^\varepsilon, Y_{\varepsilon t}^\varepsilon) \mathrm{d}t + \sigma(\tilde{X}_t^\varepsilon, Y_{\varepsilon t}^\varepsilon) \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_t^1,$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t^1 := \varepsilon^{-1/2} W_{\varepsilon t}^1$  is a new BM.

 $\diamond$  With the natural time scaling  $t \mapsto \varepsilon t$ , the process  $\tilde{X}_t^{\varepsilon} := X_{\varepsilon t}^{\varepsilon}$  satisfies

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_t^{\varepsilon} = b(\tilde{X}_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_{\varepsilon t}^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \sigma(\tilde{X}_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_{\varepsilon t}^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_t^1,$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t^1 := \varepsilon^{-1/2} W_{\varepsilon t}^1$  is a new BM.

Thus we need to consider the auxiliary process  $X_t^y$  which satisfies the frozen equation

$$\mathrm{d} X_t^y = b(X_t^y, y) \mathrm{d} t + \sigma(X_t^y, y) \mathrm{d} W_t^1, \quad X_0^y = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}.$$

Under certain recurrence conditions, the process  $X_t^y$  process a unique invariant measure  $\mu^y(dx)$ .

 $\diamond$  Then by averaging the coefficients with respect to parameter in fast variable, the slow part  $Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  will converge to  $\bar{Y}_t$ , where

$$\mathrm{d}\,\bar{Y}_t = \bar{F}(\bar{Y}_t)\mathrm{d}t + G(\bar{Y}_t)\mathrm{d}W_t^2$$

with

$$\bar{F}(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} F(x, y) \mu^y(\mathrm{d} x).$$

## Background - Averaging principle

▷ Strong convergence:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t| \leqslant C_T \, \varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Strong convergence:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t| \leqslant C_T \, \varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

History results:

Freidlin and Wentcell (1998), Pavliotis and Stuart (2008), ···.
 <u>Condition</u>: all the coefficients are Lipschitz continuous.

Strong convergence:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t| \leqslant C_T \, \varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

History results:

- Freidlin and Wentcell (1998), Pavliotis and Stuart (2008), ···.
   <u>Condition</u>: all the coefficients are Lipschitz continuous.
- If G depends on the fast variable x, then the strong convergence may not hold.

Strong convergence:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t| \leqslant C_T \, \varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

History results:

- Freidlin and Wentcell (1998), Pavliotis and Stuart (2008), ····. <u>Condition</u>: all the coefficients are Lipschitz continuous.
- If G depends on the fast variable x, then the strong convergence may not hold.
- The convergence rate is important for numerical schemes (called HMM) for multiscale systems (see e.g. [E. etc, 2005, CPAM]).

However, the time scale separation is never infinite in reality.

For small but positive  $\varepsilon$ , the process  $Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  will experience fluctuations around its average  $\bar{Y}_t$ .

However, the time scale separation is never infinite in reality.

For small but positive  $\varepsilon$ , the process  $Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  will experience fluctuations around its average  $\bar{Y}_t$ .

To leading order, these fluctuations can be captured by characterizing the asymptotic behavior of the normalized difference

$$Z_t^{\varepsilon} := \frac{Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \left[ F(X_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(\bar{Y}_s) \right] \mathrm{d}s$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0.

When  $G \equiv \mathbb{I}_{d_2}$ , the deviation process  $Z_t^{\varepsilon}$  is known to converge weakly to  $\bar{Z}_t$  with

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_t = \nabla_y \bar{F}(\bar{Y}_t) \bar{Z}_t \mathrm{d}t + \zeta(\bar{Y}_t) \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_t,$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t$  is another standard Brownian motion, and the new diffusion coefficient is given by

$$\zeta(y) := \sqrt{\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} \mathbb{E} \left[ F(X_t^y(x), y) - \bar{F}(y) \right] \left[ F(x, y) - \bar{F}(y) \right]^* \mu^y(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}t}.$$

Such result, also known as the Gaussian approximation, is an analogue of the functional central limit theorem.

Consider the following multiscale SDE in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-2}b(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \beta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}c(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \gamma_{\varepsilon}^{-1}H(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + G(Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}W_t^2, \\ X_0^{\varepsilon} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \quad Y_0^{\varepsilon} = y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}, \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

where the small parameters  $\alpha_{\varepsilon}, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

→ < ∃ →</p>

Consider the following multiscale SDE in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-2}b(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \beta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}c(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \gamma_{\varepsilon}^{-1}H(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + G(Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}W_t^2, \\ X_0^{\varepsilon} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \quad Y_0^{\varepsilon} = y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}, \end{cases}$$

where the small parameters  $\alpha_{\varepsilon}, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

(2.3)

- $\diamond$  there exist two time scales in the fast motion  $X_t^{\varepsilon}$ ;
- $\diamond$  even the slow process  $Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  has a fast varying component, which is known to be closely related to the homogenization in PDEs.

## Main results

Consider the following multiscale SDE in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-2}b(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \beta_{\varepsilon}^{-1}c(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + \gamma_{\varepsilon}^{-1}H(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}t + G(Y_t^{\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}W_t^2, \\ X_0^{\varepsilon} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \quad Y_0^{\varepsilon} = y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}, \end{cases}$$

where the small parameters  $\alpha_{\varepsilon}, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

 $\diamond$  there exist two time scales in the fast motion  $X_t^{\varepsilon}$ ;

 $\diamond$  even the slow process  $Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  has a fast varying component, which is known to be closely related to the homogenization in PDEs.

History results:

- Papanicolaou, Stroock and Varadhan (1976);
- Pardoux and Veretennikov (2001, 03, 05, AOP);
- Khasminskii and Yin (2007, JDE).

## Main results

Consider the following multiscale SDE in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-2} b(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \beta_{\varepsilon}^{-1} c(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \gamma_{\varepsilon}^{-1} H(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + G(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^2, \\ X_0^{\varepsilon} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \quad Y_0^{\varepsilon} = y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}, \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

where the small parameters  $\alpha_{\varepsilon}, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

A particular case:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d} V_t^{\varepsilon} = -\varepsilon^{-1/2} \nabla \mathcal{V}(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d} t - \varepsilon^{-1} \gamma(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) V_t^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} t + \varepsilon^{-1/2} \sigma(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d} W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d} Y_t^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1/2} V_t^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} t, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to the the overdamped stochastic Langevin equation:

$$\varepsilon \ddot{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = -\nabla \mathcal{V}(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) - \gamma(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \dot{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} + \sigma(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \dot{W}_t.$$

## Main results - functional LLN

We need to study the following Poisson equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ :

$$\mathscr{L}_0(x,y)\Phi(x,y) = -H(x,y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1},$$
(2.4)

where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$  is a parameter, and  $\mathscr{L}_0(x, y)$  is given by

$$\mathscr{L}_{0}(x,y) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_{1}} a^{ij}(x,y) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} b^{i}(x,y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$$

with  $a(x, y) = \sigma(x, y)\sigma^*(x, y)$ .

## Main results - functional LLN

We need to study the following Poisson equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ :

$$\mathscr{L}_0(x,y)\Phi(x,y) = -H(x,y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1},$$
(2.4)

where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$  is a parameter, and  $\mathscr{L}_0(x, y)$  is given by

$$\mathscr{L}_{0}(x,y) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_{1}} a^{ij}(x,y) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} b^{i}(x,y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$$

with  $a(x, y) = \sigma(x, y)\sigma^*(x, y)$ .

(A<sub>H</sub>): the drift H is centered, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} H(x,y)\mu^y(\mathrm{d} x) = 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}.$$

[Röckner and X., 2020, AOP]  $\implies \exists$  ! solution  $\Phi$  to equation (2.4).

Depending on the orders that  $\alpha_{\varepsilon}, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon}$  go to zero, we will have two different regimes of interaction, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\beta_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0, \quad \text{Case 1;} \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2} = \beta_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \text{Case 2.} \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

Depending on the orders that  $\alpha_{\varepsilon}, \beta_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon}$  go to zero, we will have two different regimes of interaction, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\beta_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0, \quad \text{Case 1;} \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2} = \beta_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \text{Case 2.} \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

#### Theorem 1 [Röckner and X., (2021) CMP]

The slow process  $Y_t^{\varepsilon}$  will converge strongly to  $\bar{Y}_t^k$ , where for k = 1, 2,

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{Y}_t^k = \bar{F}_k(\bar{Y}_t^k)\mathrm{d}t + G(\bar{Y}_t^k)\mathrm{d}W_t^2,$$

and the averaged drift are given by

$$\begin{split} \bar{F}_1(y) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} F(x, y) \mu^y(\mathrm{d}x); \quad (\text{Case 1}) \\ \bar{F}_2(y) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} \left[ F(x, y) + c(x, y) \cdot \nabla_x \Phi(x, y) \right] \mu^y(\mathrm{d}x). \quad (\text{Case 2}) \end{split}$$

#### ► <u>Remark:</u>

When  $c = H \equiv 0$ ,  $\alpha_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  and  $b, F \in C_{x,y}^{\delta, \vartheta}$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^1| \leqslant C_T \, \varepsilon^{(\vartheta \wedge 1)/2}$$

Note that the convergence rate does not dependent on the regularity of the coefficients w.r.t. the fast variable.

## Main results - functional CLT

We first study SDE (2.3) with  $H \equiv 0$ , i.e., there is no fast term in the slow component:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-2} b(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \beta_{\varepsilon}^{-1} c(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + G(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^2. \end{cases}$$

We first study SDE (2.3) with  $H \equiv 0$ , i.e., there is no fast term in the slow component:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-2} b(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \beta_{\varepsilon}^{-1} c(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^1, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + G(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}W_t^2. \end{cases}$$

According to Theorem 1 (Case 1), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^1| \leqslant C_0 \big(\alpha_{\varepsilon} + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^2/\beta_{\varepsilon}\big).$$

We intend to characterize the asymptotic behavior of the normalized difference

$$Z_t^{\varepsilon} := \frac{Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^1}{\eta_{\varepsilon}}$$

with proper deviation scale  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $\eta_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

The natural choice of the deviation scale  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  should be divided into the following three cases:

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}^2}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} & \text{and} & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{\alpha_{\varepsilon}} = 0, \\ \eta_{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\varepsilon} & \text{and} & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} = 0, \\ \eta_{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\varepsilon} = \beta_{\varepsilon}, \end{cases} \qquad \qquad \text{Case 0.1;}$$

Let  $\Gamma(x, y)$  be the unique solution of the following Poisson equation:

$$\mathscr{L}_0(x,y)\Gamma(x,y) = -[F(x,y) - \overline{F}_1(y)] := -\widetilde{F}(x,y),$$

Define

$$\overline{c \cdot 
abla_{\mathsf{X}} \mathsf{\Gamma}}(y) \coloneqq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} c(x, y) \cdot 
abla_{\mathsf{X}} \mathsf{\Gamma}(x, y) \mu^y(\mathrm{d}x),$$
  
 $\overline{ ilde{F} \cdot \mathsf{\Gamma}^*}(y) \coloneqq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} ilde{F}(x, y) \cdot \mathsf{\Gamma}^*(x, y) \mu^y(\mathrm{d}x).$ 

э

#### Theorem 2 (CLT: Case 0) [Röckner and X., 2021, CMP]

The limit processes  $\overline{Z}_{\ell,t}^0$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ ) for  $Z_t^{\varepsilon}$  corresponding to Case 0.1-Case 0.3 satisfy

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{0} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{1}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{0}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{0}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} + \overline{c\cdot\nabla_{x}\Gamma}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\mathrm{d}t;\\ \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{0} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{1}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{0}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{0}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} + \sqrt{\tilde{F}\cdot\Gamma^{*}}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_{t};\\ \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{0} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{1}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{0}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{0}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} \\ &+ \overline{c\cdot\nabla_{x}\Gamma}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{\tilde{F}\cdot\Gamma^{*}}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_{t}, \end{split}$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t$  is another Brownian motion independent of  $W_t^2$ .

#### ▶ <u>Remark:</u>

In particular, when  $c = H \equiv 0$ ,  $\alpha_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  and  $b, F \in C_{x,y}^{\delta,1+\vartheta}$  with  $\delta, \vartheta > 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left| \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Z_t^{\varepsilon})] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\bar{Z}_t)] \right| \leqslant C_T \, \varepsilon^{(\vartheta \wedge 1)/2},$$

where

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_t = \nabla_y \bar{F}(\bar{Y}_t) \bar{Z}_t \mathrm{d}t + \nabla_y G(\bar{Y}_t^1) \bar{Z}_t \mathrm{d}W_t^2 + \sqrt{\bar{F} \cdot \Gamma^*}(\bar{Y}_t) \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_t.$$

- 4 目 ト - 4 日 ト

## Main results - functional CLT

Now, we consider Case 1 in (2.5). According to Theorem 1 again, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^1| \leqslant C_1 \big( \alpha_{\varepsilon} / \gamma_{\varepsilon} + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^2 / (\beta_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\varepsilon}) \big).$$

Define the normalized difference

$$Z_t^{1,\varepsilon} := \frac{Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^1}{\eta_{\varepsilon}}.$$

## Main results - functional CLT

Now, we consider Case 1 in (2.5). According to Theorem 1 again, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^1| \leqslant C_1 \big( \alpha_{\varepsilon} / \gamma_{\varepsilon} + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^2 / (\beta_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\varepsilon}) \big).$$

Define the normalized difference

$$Z^{1,arepsilon}_t := rac{Y^arepsilon_t - ar Y^1_t}{\eta_arepsilon}.$$

Then the natural choice of the deviation scale  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  in order to observe non-trivial behavior for  $Z_t^{1,\varepsilon}$  should be divided into the following three cases:

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\beta_{\varepsilon}\gamma_{\varepsilon}} & \text{and} & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{\alpha_{\varepsilon}} = 0, & \text{Case 1.1;} \\ \eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} & \text{and} & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} = 0, & \text{Case 1.2;} \\ \eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} & \text{and} & \alpha_{\varepsilon} = \beta_{\varepsilon}, & \text{Case 1.3.} \end{cases}$$

#### Recall that $\Phi$ is the unique solution to the Poisson equation

$$\mathscr{L}_0(x,y)\Phi(x,y)=-H(x,y).$$

Define

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \Phi}(\boldsymbol{y}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mu^{\boldsymbol{y}}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x});$$
$$\overline{\boldsymbol{H} \cdot \Phi^*}(\boldsymbol{y}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} \boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot \Phi^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mu^{\boldsymbol{y}}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}).$$

#### Theorem 3 (CLT: Case 1) [Röckner and X., 2021, CMP]

The limiting processes  $\bar{Z}^1_{\ell,t}$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ ) for  $Z^{1,\varepsilon}_t$  corresponding to Case 1.1-Case 1.3 satisfy

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{1} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{1}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{1}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{1}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} + \overline{c\cdot\nabla_{x}\Phi}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\mathrm{d}t;\\ \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{1} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{1}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{1}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{1}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} + \sqrt{H\cdot\Phi^{*}}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_{t};\\ \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{1} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{1}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{1}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{1}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} \\ &+ \overline{c\cdot\nabla_{x}\Phi}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{H\cdot\Phi^{*}}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{1})\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_{t}, \end{split}$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t$  is another Brownian motion independent of  $W_t^2$ .

## Main results - functional CLT

Finally, we consider Case 2 in (2.5), where homogenization already occurs even in the LLN. According to Theorem 1 (Case 2), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^2| \leqslant C_2 \big(\alpha_{\varepsilon}/\gamma_{\varepsilon} + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^2/\beta_{\varepsilon}\big).$$

Define the normalized difference

$$Z_t^{2,\varepsilon} := \frac{Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^2}{\eta_{\varepsilon}}$$

.

## Main results - functional CLT

Finally, we consider Case 2 in (2.5), where homogenization already occurs even in the LLN. According to Theorem 1 (Case 2), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^2| \leqslant C_2 \big(\alpha_{\varepsilon}/\gamma_{\varepsilon} + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^2/\beta_{\varepsilon}\big).$$

Define the normalized difference

~

$$Z_t^{2,\varepsilon} := \frac{Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{Y}_t^2}{\eta_{\varepsilon}}$$

The natural choice of the derivation scale  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  should be divided into the following three cases:

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} & \text{and} & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon}}{\alpha_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\varepsilon}} = 0, & \text{Case 2.1;} \\ \eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} & \text{and} & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\varepsilon}}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} = 0, & \text{Case 2.2;} \\ \eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\beta_{\varepsilon}}, & \text{Case 2.3.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that

$$\mathscr{L}_0(x,y)\Phi(x,y) = -H(x,y), \ \mathscr{L}_0(x,y)\Gamma(x,y) = -[F(x,y)-\bar{F}_1(y)].$$

Let  $\Psi$  solves the following Poisson equation:

$$\mathscr{L}_{0}(x,y)\Psi(x,y) = -[c(x,y)\cdot\nabla_{x}\Phi(x,y)-\overline{c\cdot\nabla_{x}\Phi}(y)].$$

Denote by

$$\overline{c\cdot 
abla_x \Psi}(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} c(x,y) \cdot 
abla_x \Psi(x,y) \mu^y(\mathrm{d} x).$$

#### Theorem 4 (CLT: Case 2) [Röckner and X., 2021, CMP]

The limiting processes  $\bar{Z}_{\ell,t}^2$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ ) for  $Z_t^{2,\varepsilon}$  corresponding to Case 2.1-Case 2.3 satisfy

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{2} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{2}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{2}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})\bar{Z}_{1,t}^{2}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} \\ &+ \left(\overline{c}\cdot\nabla_{x}\Gamma + \overline{c}\cdot\nabla_{x}\Psi\right)(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})\mathrm{d}t; \\ \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{2} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{2}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{2}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})\bar{Z}_{2,t}^{2}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} + \sqrt{H\cdot\Phi^{*}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})}\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_{t}; \\ \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{2} &= \nabla_{y}\bar{F}_{2}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{2}\mathrm{d}t + \nabla_{y}G(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})\bar{Z}_{3,t}^{2}\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2} \\ &+ \left(\overline{c}\cdot\nabla_{x}\Gamma + \overline{c}\cdot\nabla_{x}\Psi\right)(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{H\cdot\Phi^{*}(\bar{Y}_{t}^{2})}\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_{t}, \end{split}$$

where  $\tilde{W}_t$  is another Brownian motion independent of  $W_t^2$ .

## Thank You !

3

Image: A match a ma

æ